

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,868	06/21/2006	Tetsuya Hirano	292813US2PCT	8990	
22850 7590 12/18/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE S	1940 DUKE STREET			GIARDINO JR, MARK A	
ALEXANDRI	EXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2185		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/18/2008	ELECTRONIC	

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

### Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/583,868	HIRANO, TETSUYA				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARK A. GIARDINO JR	2185				

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
  - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
  - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

### **AMENDMENTS**

- 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
  - Claim(s) allowed:
  - Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_
  - Claim(s) rejected: \_ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_

## AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

/Saniiv Shah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2185

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Kularagi does not teach that "When the data word length is selected so that the DSP accesses the external memory using the maximum number of bus cycles in a unit of one data access, access from the CPU to the external memory is placed in a wait state" has been considered but is not persuasive. Kutaragi clearly states that the amount of cycles usable by the DSP is variable (Column 16 Lines 28-34), and thus can be adjusted such that the DSP accesses the memory during the entire access period. Further, even if two memory accesses were the maximum word length (as in Figure 12), the CPU is still waiting during periods of time, and could thus be construed as a "wait state".

Applicant's argument that the multiplexer of Davis is not "an access determination unit configured to determine, when each of the DSPs issues a read command or a write command at a same time, which one of the DSPs is allowed to access the monny has been considered but is not persuasive. 'Configured to' is not a positive recitation, and as long as the access determination unit is able to perform a function it is construed as "configured to' perform a function. Thus, since the multiplexer of Davis has a semeted but to choose which memory device may access the memory, the multiplexer is thus configured to determine, when each of the DSPs issues a read command or a write command at a same time, which one of the DSPs is allowed to access the memory.

The amendment to Claim 2 appears to be for clarification purposes only and the rejection of Claim 2 in the Final Rejection sent 9/15/2008 is maintained by the examiner.